

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

**Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

2012-2013

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

Storage

KE
538.5
.R64
2012
v.3
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 30 2012

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

**Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

2012-2013

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/familylawcasesma03roge_0

FAMILY LAW
Table of Contents
Volume III

Page No.

VII. SPOUSAL SUPPORT

A.	Some Preliminary Issues of Jurisdiction and Standing	
	Note.....	543
B.	The Principles of Spousal Support	
	Rogerson, “The Challenge of Spousal Support” from “The Canadian Law Of Spousal Support” (2004), 38 FLQ 69	545
	Eekelaar and Maclean, “The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations”.....	546
	LRCC, <i>Maintenance on Divorce</i>	547
	Rogerson, “Spousal Support After <i>Moge</i> ”.....	548
	<i>Messier v. Delage</i>	549
C.	The Evolution of the Law of Spousal Support in Canada	
	Rogerson and Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines” (2011) 45 FLQ 241 at 241-248.....	552
	Note: The Supreme Court of Canada “Trilogy”	555
	<i>Pelech v. Pelech</i>	555
	<i>Richardson v. Richardson</i>	564
	<i>Moge v. Moge</i>	571
	Rogerson, “The Canadian Law of Spousal Support”	586
	<i>Bracklow v. Bracklow</i>	588
	<i>Leskun v. Leskun</i>	599
D.	The Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines	
	Rogerson and Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines” (“Developing Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines”) (2011) 45 FLQ 241 at 249-251	608
	Rogerson and Thompson, <i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> , (Dept. of Justice, Canada, July 2008), Executive Summary	610
	Rogerson and Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines” (“The Early Response and Use of the SSAG”) (2011) 45 FLQ 241 at 249-251	614
E.	Some Without Child Support Examples	
	Rogerson and Thompson, <i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> , extracts from ch. 7 (<i>The Without Child Support Formula</i>),.....	617
	<i>Fisher v. Fisher</i> , 2008 ONCA, 46 R.F.L. (6 th) 235.....	621
	Rogerson and Thompson, <i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> , extracts from ch. 12 (Exceptions, Illness and Disability).....	637
F.	Some With Child Support Examples	
	<i>Andrews v. Andrews</i>	640
	Rogerson and Thompson, <i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> , extracts	

	from ch. 8 (The <i>With Child Support Formula</i>)	644
	<i>Bildy v. Bildy</i>	647
G.	Assessment of the Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines	
	Rogerson and Thompson, "The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines" ("An Early Assessment of the Advisory Guidelines") (2011) 45 FLQ 241 at 261-269	650
H.	Variation of Spousal Support	
	Rogerson and Thompson, <i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> , extracts from ch. 14 (Variation, Review, Remarriage and Second Families)	655

VIII. DOMESTIC CONTRACTS

A.	Introduction: Contracting in the Family Context	
	<i>Balfour v. Balfour</i>	661
	<i>Harper's Magazine</i> , "Conditional Love"	661
	O'Donovan, "Reforming the Private" from <i>Sexual Divisions in Law</i>	662
	Mnookin, "Divorce Bargaining: the Limits on Private Ordering"	665
	Note: The Religious Marriage Contracts	668
	Epstein and Madsen, "Comment on <i>Nasin v. Nasin</i> ", Family Law Newsletter, May 27, 2008, FAMLNWS 2008-21 [Westlaw Canada]	668
	<i>Nathoo v. Nathoo</i> , [1996] B.C.J. No. 2720 (B.C.S.C.)	669
	<i>Kaddoura v. Hammoud</i> (1998), 44 R.F.L. (4 th) 228 (Ont. Gen. Div.)	671
B.	Limits on Contracting out of Family Law Entitlements	
	Introductory Note	675
	<i>Miglin v. Miglin</i>	678
	Note: <i>Hartshorne v. Hartshorne</i> ; <i>Rick v. Brandsema</i>	704
	Rogerson, "The Legacy of <i>Miglin</i> : Some Preliminary Thoughts" (Draft)	707
C.	Setting Aside Agreements: Inadequate Disclosure and the General Principles of Contract Law	
	Hovius, <i>Family Law</i> , Introductory Note	718
(a)	Inadequate Disclosure	
	<i>LeVan v. LeVan</i> , 2008 ONCA, 51 R.F.L. (6 th) 237 (Ont.C.A.)	719
(b)	The Law of Contract: Unconscionability	
	Note: Unconscionability	728
	<i>Rosen v. Rosen</i>	728
	<i>Rick v. Brandsema</i> , [2009] 1 S.C.R. 295, 62 R.F.L.(6 th) 239	731
	<i>Leopold v. Leopold</i>	739
	Note: <i>D'Andrade v. Schrage</i> , 2011 CarswellOnt 1292 (Ont.S.C.)	744

IX. CHILD SUPPORT

A. Introduction: Principles of Child Support

Eekelaar and Maclean, "The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations".....	747
Eekelaar, "Maintenance and Children" from <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i>	748
<i>Paras v. Paras</i>	750
Rogerson, "Judicial Interpretation of the Spousal and Child Support Provisions of the Divorce Act"	751
<i>Lucas v. Lucas</i>	752

B. The Child Support Guidelines (CSG)

(a) Introduction and Overview

Federal/Provincial/Territorial Family Law Committee, <i>Summary Report and Recommendations on Child Support</i>	755
Government of Canada, <i>The New Child Support Package</i> , (March 6, 1996).....	757
Note: Federal and Provincial Guidelines	760

(b) High Earners: Incomes over \$150,000

<i>Francis v. Baker</i>	762
Note: Subsequent Cases on High Earners (<i>Simon, Tauber, R. v. R</i>)	770

(c) Determining Income

<i>Lavergne v. Lavergne</i> (2007), 40 R.F.L. (6 th) 239 (Alta. C.A.).....	772
<i>Odendahl v. Brule</i>	776
<i>Montgomery v. Montgomery</i>	778
Note: <i>Van Gool, Donovan, Hunt-Smolis</i>	782
<i>Drygala v. Pauli</i>	783
Thompson, "Slackers, Shirkers and Career Changers: Imputing Income for the Under/Unemployed"	787

(d) Section 7—Special and Extraordinary Expenses

Note: 2006 Amendment, s. 7(1.1).....	790
<i>McLaughlin v. McLaughlin</i>	790
Note: Proposed Changes to Section 7 Expenses in Five Year Review.....	805
<i>Simpson v. Trowsdale</i> (2007), 34 R.F.L. (6 th) 332 (P.E.I. S.C.-T.D.).....	805

(e) Undue Hardship—Section 10

<i>Schenkeveld v. Schenkeveld</i>	815
<i>Gaetz v. Gaetz</i>	818
Thompson, "Case Comment: <i>Gaetz v. Gaetz</i> "	822

(f) Shared Custody—Section 9

Introductory Note.....	824
<i>Mehling v. Mehling</i> (2008), 62 R.F.L.(6 th) 25 (Man.C.A.).....	824
<i>Froom v. Froom</i>	831
<i>Contino v. Leonelli-Contino</i>	831

Thompson, Annotation to <i>Contino</i>	847
Note: Proposed Changes to the Shared Custody Provisions.....	852
(g) Contracting Out of the Guidelines	
Note.....	853
<i>Gobeil v. Gobeil</i> , 2007 MBCA, 212 Man. R. (2d)140 (Man. C.A.)	853
Note: <i>Greene v. Greene</i> , 2010 BCCA 595.....	859
(h) Retroactive Child Support	
<i>D.B.S. v. S.R.G.</i>	861
Huddart, “SCC’s decision on annual disclosure threaten’s children’s Interests” <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , September 22, 2006	878
Note: Mandatory Annual Disclosure of Income under the Ontario CSG	879
Osborne and Williams, “Lower income women benefit from support recalculation service” <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , June 9, 2007.....	879
Note: Recalculation of Child Support in Ontario	881
C. Entitlement to Child Support: Who is a Parent? Who is a Child?	
(a) Children Over the Age of Majority	
Bala and Feehan, “Child Support for Adult Children: When Does Economic Childhood End?” Queen’s Faculty of Law, Legal Studies Research Paper, Working Paper No. 08-01 (April 23, 2008).....	883
<i>Rebenchuk v. Rebenchuk</i> (2007), 35 R.F.L. (6 th) 239 (Man. C.A.).....	887
Bala and Feehan, “Child Support for Adult Children: When Does Economic Childhood End?”	900
Schmitz, “Lawyers debate injecting ‘fault’ back into adult child support” <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , June 23, 2006	903
<i>Moore-Orlowski v. Johnston</i> [2006] S.J. No. 389 (Sask. Q.B.).....	905
(b) In Loco Parentis	
Bala and Thomas, “Who is a ‘Parent’? ‘Standing in the Place of a Parent’ and Canada’s Child Support Guidelines, s. 5” Queen’s Faculty of Law, Legal Studies Research Paper, No. 07-11 (July 12, 2007).....	910
<i>Chartier v. Chartier</i>	914
Bala and Thomas, “Who is a ‘Parent’? ‘Standing in the Place of a Parent’ and Canada’s Child Support Guidelines, s. 5” extract on “Standing in the Place of a Parent after <i>Chartier</i> ”	922
Note: Assessing Support Against a Stepparent Under the Guidelines.....	924
<i>H. (U.V.) v. H. (M.W.)</i> (2008), 59 R.F.L. (6 th) 25 (B.C.C.A.).....	925
Note: <i>Pigeau v. Pigeau</i> , 2009 CarswellOnt 2102 (Ont. S.C.J.).....	934